

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/729,767	Applicant(s) HIMMER ET AL.	
	Examiner BEN C. WANG	Art Unit 2192	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Paul D. Greeley (Reg. No. 31,019).

(2) Ben C. Wang.

Date of Interview: 23 December 2009

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 16, and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner proposed amendments to claim 1, 5, 16, and 17 to overcome potential rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Greeley authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.